R. A. Qudsi<sup>1</sup>, R. Bandyopadhyay<sup>1</sup>, B. A. Maruca<sup>1,2</sup>, T. N. Parashar<sup>1</sup>, W. H. Matthaeus<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Chasapis<sup>3</sup>, S. P. Gary<sup>4</sup>, B. L. Giles<sup>5</sup>, D. J. Gershman<sup>5</sup>, C. J. Pollock<sup>6</sup>, C. T. Russell<sup>7</sup>, R. J. Strangeway<sup>7</sup>, R. B. Torbert<sup>8</sup>, T. E. Moore<sup>5</sup>, and J. L. Burch<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Phys. and Astronomy, Univ. of Delaware, DE, USA - <sup>2</sup>Bartol Research Institute, DE, USA - <sup>3</sup>Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Phys., Univ of Colorado Boulder, CO, USA - <sup>4</sup>Space Science Inst., CO, USA -<sup>5</sup>NASA GSFC, MD, USA — <sup>6</sup>Denali Scientific, AK, USA — <sup>7</sup>Univ of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA — <sup>8</sup>Univ of New Hampshire, NH, USA — <sup>9</sup>Southwest Research Inst., TX, USA

# Abstract Weakly collisional space plasmas are rarely in equilibrium and often exhibit microinstabilities driven by ion temperature anisotropy. These instabilities play an active role in the evolution of space plasmas, as does ubi- $150\frac{1}{10}$ quitous turbulence induced by turbulent structures. 100 In this study, we apply linear Vlasov theory to both simulations and in-situ observations to explore how these two phenomena 50 interact. By using Particle-In-Cell (PIC) simulations Magnetospheric MultiScale (MMS) Mission observations in the terrestrial magnetosheath, we calculate and compare the proton-temperature-anisotropy driven linear-instability growth rates for every available pointwise sample. Introduction Linear instability thresholds $\gamma_{max}/\Omega_p$ 10<sup>-3</sup> 10<sup>-1</sup> $\gamma_{max}/\Omega_p$ $10^{-1}$ Oblique Firehose $\hat{\wp}^{\circ}_{\parallel p} \equiv 2\mu_0 n_p K_B T_{\parallel p} / B^2$ $\hat{\beta}_{\parallel p} \equiv 2\mu_0 n_p K_B T_{\parallel p} / B^2$ /An) [[] • The figure shows the proton tempooerature $G^{2} 10^{-1}$ anisotropy driven instability threshold over × 10<sup>-2</sup> the $\beta_{\parallel p}$ , $R_p$ -plane. $\mathbf{G}^{2} \mathbf{10}^{-1}$ • Points with growth rates lower than 10<sup>-5</sup> were considered stable. × 10<sup>-3</sup> • Thresholds were calculated using a linear Vlasov-solver. • Thresholds are closely spaced for higher values of $\beta_{\parallel p}$ and $R_p$ . • Oblique instabilities have steeper slope for growth rates compared to parallel

instabilities.

# Intermittent distribution of micro-instabilities in space plasma

Results from 2.5D PIC simulations Initial conditions:  $\beta_p = \beta_e = 0.6, T_p = T_e R_p = 1, N_x = N_v = 4096, n = 3200/\text{cell}, \delta b = 0.5B_0, \delta v = 0.5V_0$ 

Colorplot of various palasma parameters from a fully kinetic 2.5D PIC simulation. Fourth and fifth panels show the spatial distribution of  $\gamma_{\text{max}}$  for parallel and oblique propagation respectively corresponding to first two panels.



• Current sheets occur in the regions where anisotropy is high/low.

# Results from MMS



• Similar number of parallel and oblique instability growth rates above threshold.

• Significatly higher number of growth rates above threshold compared to PIC simulation results.

• Significantly lesser number of growth rate for oblique instabilities.

- Overall distribution of growth rates is intermittent, similar to the results from PIC simulation.
- The points of instabilities are concentrated together, spreading over a time length of 4-8 seconds or about 50-70 d<sub>i</sub>.

## Conclusion and Discussion

- In both cases, simulation as well data from MMS, we find that the microinstabilities occur highly intermittently in the plasma.
- Simulation shows indications that the instabilities preferentially occur near current sheets.
- This suggests that, though microinstabilities affect the plasma globally, they act locally and develop in response to extreme temperature anisotropies generated by turbulent structure.
- The growth rates peak around the regions of intense current/current sheets, though they do not overlap precisely.

## Future Work

- Study the correlation between and  $J_7$ , by using the propinquity method.
- Study the distribution of the width of growth rates from both simulation as well as MMS data.
- Extend the result to include solar wind observations.
- Compare non-linear and linear times scales.

# **References and Acknowledgements**

Parashar, T. N., & Matthaeus, W. H. 2016, ApJ, 832, 57

Maruca, B. A., Chasapis, A., Gary, S. P., et al. 2018, The Astrophysical Journal, 866, 25

Verscharen, D., Klein, K. G., & Maruca, B. A. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1902.03448

Servidio, S., Valentini, F., Perrone, D., et al. 2015, Journalof Plasma Physics, 81, 325810107

T.N.P. was supported by NSF SHINE Grant AGS-1460130 and NASA HGI Grant 80NSSC19K0284.